

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/815,472 03/23/2001	David A. Goodmanson	8893-000003	6519	
27572 7590 03/25/2003				
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE	, P.L.C.	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303		TRAN LIE	TRAN LIEN, THUY	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1761	11	
		DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/815,472

Applicant(s)

Goodmanson

Office Action Summary

Examiner Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761



The MANUAC DATE AND		
Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136</li> </ul>	3 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	supply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar	10, 2003	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.	
orosod in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-13, 15-18, and 27-40</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) U Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) XI Claim(s) <u>1-13, 15-18, and 27-40</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) L Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed oni	s/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	- 107 (07 07 17)	
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International F	ty documents have been received in this National Stage	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) The translation of the foreign language provis	ional application has been received.	
15) $\sqcup$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
or	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1761

1. Claims 1-13, 15-18 and 27-40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In all relevant claims, applicant's use of the term "reprocessed dough" is not supported by the original disclosure because throughout the specification, it is disclosed that "reprocessed batter" is made by mixing rework dough with water and a catalyst. For example, page 6 discloses "The reprocessing batter has a viscosity which is generally the consistency of a pancake". A material having a consistency of a pancake can not be characterized as a dough. Dough and batter are two distinguishable products known in the art. It is suggested applicant change the term "reprocessed dough" to --- reprocessed batter ---. In claim 37, the ratio of fresh dough to reprocess dough of greater than 85:15 is not supported by the original disclosure; such ratio can not be found anywhere in the specification.

2. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 is indefinite because it does not further limit claim 16 which recites reprocessed dough and claim 33 recites "the catalyst".

Claims 34-35 have the same problem as claim 33. Additionally, the use of the word "preferably" in claim 35 is unclear because it is not known what is intended by it.

Application/Control Number: 09/815472

Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 40 is vague and indefinite; it is not clear what applicant is trying to claim. While claim 37 recites a dough comprising a reprocessed dough, claim 40 does not recite any reprocessed dough.

- 3. Claims 1-13, 15-18 and 27-40 are free of prior art because there is no disclosure of the method and dough as claimed.
- 4. There is no claim 32 in the application; applicant went from claim 31 to 33. Is this a misnumbering; clarification is requested.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

March 21, 2003

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Choup 17,17)